

## **REMARKS**

This responds to the Office Action dated 7 April 2004. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the application in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks. New claim 49 has been added. Claims 11, 19, 32, and 40 have been amended. Accordingly, claims 1-49 remain pending in the application.

### **Allowed Claims**

Applicant acknowledges that claims 1-10, 12-18, and 33-48 are allowed. However, it appears that the Examiner objects to claim 40, even though it is listed as “allowed” in both the “Office Action Summary” and the final paragraph of page 2 of the Office Action.

### **Rejection under 37 CFR 1.75(c)**

Claims 11, 19, and 32 were objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant contends that claims 11, 19, and 32 did further limit an associated claim by requiring that the mounting assembly, apparatus, or device include one of various actual accessories not required by the base claims. Nevertheless, in an effort to advance prosecution of the case, Applicant has amended claims 11, 19, and 32 in a way that clearly further limits the associated base claims. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection of claims 11, 19, and 32 under 37 CFR 1.75(c) be withdrawn.

### **In the Drawings**

The drawings were objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) for failing to show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The Examiner states that “the sight, stabilizer, quiver, and level (claims 11, 19, 32, & 40) must be shown or the feature(s) cancelled from the claim(s).” Applicant

has amended claims 11, 19, 32, and 40 to remove reference to the sight, stabilizer, quiver, and level. Therefore, claims 11, 19, 32, and 40 should be allowed and the objection to the drawings should be withdrawn.

**Reasons for Allowance**

Applicant acknowledges the Examiner's statement noting that the prior art does not disclose various limitations of claim 1. Applicant notes that the limitations of the other independent claims differ from claim 1, but that each of the other independent claim also recites limitations not disclosed by the prior art of record.

**Conclusion**

Applicant respectfully submits that all claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to telephone the undersigned attorney if there are unresolved matters in the present application so that the examination process can be expedited.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

7 July 2004

Respectfully submitted,



\_\_\_\_\_  
L. Grant Foster  
Reg. No. 33,236